arXiv:1506.08875v1 [math.CO] 29 Jun 2015

On scattered linear sets of pseudoregulus type in $\mathrm{PG}(1,q^t)$

Bence Csajbók Corrado Zanella

July 1, 2015

Abstract

Scattered linear sets of pseudoregulus type in $\mathrm{PG}(1,q^t)$ have been defined and investigated in [19, 5]. The aim of this paper is to continue such an investigation. Properties of a scattered linear set of pseudoregulus type, say $\mathbb{L}$, are proved by means of three different ways to obtain $\mathbb{L}$: (i) as projection of a q-order canonical subgeometry [20], (ii) as a set whose image under the field reduction map is the hypersurface of degree t in $\mathrm{PG}(2t-1,q)$ studied in [10], (iii) as exterior splash, by the correspondence described in [15]. In particular, given a canonical subgeometry Σ of $\mathrm{PG}(t-1,q^t)$, necessary and sufficient conditions are given for the projection of Σ with center a $(t-3)$-subspace to be a linear set of pseudoregulus type. Furthermore, the q-order sublines are counted and geometrically described.

AMS subject classification: 51E20

Keywords: linear set, subgeometry, normal rational curve, finite projective space

1 Introduction

If V is a vector space over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$, then $\mathrm{PG}_{q^t}(V)$ denotes the projective space whose points are the one-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$-subspaces of V. If V has dimension n over $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$, then $\mathrm{PG}_{q^t}(V)=\mathrm{PG}(n-1,q^t)$. For a point set $T\subset \mathrm{PG}(n-1,q^t)$ denote by $\langle T\rangle$ the projective subspace of $\mathrm{PG}(n-1,q^t)$ spanned by the points in T. For $m\mid t$ and a set of elements $S\subset V$ denote by $\langle S\rangle_{q^m}$ the $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$-vector subspace of V spanned by the vectors in S. For the rest of the paper assume that $q=p^e$ is a power of the prime p. Also

$\theta_s = (q^{s+1} - 1)/(q - 1)$ for $s \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{-1\}$, and $N(x) = q^{\theta_{t-1}}$ is the norm of $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$.

Let $R = \mathbb{F}_{q^t}^r$, and let $P = \mathrm{PG}_{q^t}(T)$ be a point of $\mathrm{PG}(r-1, q^t)$, where T is a one-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$-subspace of R. Then $\mathcal{F}_{r,t,q}(P) := \mathrm{PG}_q(T)$ defines the *field reduction* from $\mathrm{PG}(r-1, q^t)$ to $\mathrm{PG}(rt-1, q)$. Denote the point set of $\mathrm{PG}(r-1, q^t)$ by $\mathcal{P}$. Then $\mathcal{F}_{r,t,q}(\mathcal{P})$ is a Desarguesian $(t-1)$-spread of $\mathrm{PG}(rt-1, q)$ [18]. Let S be a subspace of $\mathrm{PG}(rt-1, q) = \mathrm{PG}_q(R)$, then

$$\mathcal{B}(S) := \{P \in \mathrm{PG}(r-1, q^t) \mid \mathcal{F}_{r,t,q}(P) \cap S \neq \emptyset\}.$$

A point set $L \subseteq \mathrm{PG}(r-1, q^t)$ is said to be $\mathbb{F}_q$*-linear* (or just *linear*) *of rank* n if $L = \mathcal{B}(S)$ for some $(n-1)$-subspace $S \subseteq \mathrm{PG}(rt-1, q)$. The size of such L is at most θ_{n-1}; if the size of L is equal to θ_{n-1}, then L is a *scattered* linear set.

Definition 1.1. *Let* $\mathrm{PG}_{q^t}(V) = \mathrm{PG}(n-1, q^t)$, *let* W *be an* n*-dimensional* $\mathbb{F}_q$*-vector subspace of* V, *and* $\Sigma = \{\langle w \rangle_{q^t} \mid w \in W^*\}$. *If* $\langle \Sigma \rangle = \mathrm{PG}(n-1, q^t)$, *then* Σ *is a* (q-order) canonical subgeometry *of* $\mathrm{PG}(n-1, q^t)$.

Let Σ be a q-order canonical subgeometry of $\overline{\Sigma} = \mathrm{PG}(n-1, q^t)$. Let $\Gamma \subset \overline{\Sigma} \setminus \Sigma$ be an $(n-1-r)$-space and let $\Lambda \subset \overline{\Sigma} \setminus \Gamma$ be an $(r-1)$-space of $\overline{\Sigma}$. The projection of Σ from *center* Γ to *axis* Λ is the point set

$$L = p_{\Gamma,\Lambda}(\Sigma) := \{\langle \Gamma, P \rangle \cap \Lambda \mid P \in \Sigma\}. \tag{1}$$

In [20] Lunardon and Polverino characterized linear sets as projections of canonical subgeometries. They proved the following.

Theorem 1.2 ([20, Theorems 1 and 2])**.** *Let* $\overline{\Sigma}$, Σ, Λ, Γ *and* $L = p_{\Gamma,\Lambda}(\Sigma)$ *be defined as above. Then* L *is an* $\mathbb{F}_q$*-linear set of rank* n *and* $\langle L \rangle = \Lambda$. *Conversely, if* L *is an* $\mathbb{F}_q$*-linear set of rank* n *of* $\Lambda = \mathrm{PG}(r-1, q^t) \subset \overline{\Sigma}$ *and* $\langle L \rangle = \Lambda$, *then there is an* $(n-1-r)$*-space* Γ *disjoint from* Λ *and a* q*-order canonical subgeometry* Σ *disjoint from* Γ *such that* $L = p_{\Gamma,\Lambda}(\Sigma)$.

Note that when $r = n$ in Theorem 1.2, then $L = p_{\Gamma,\Lambda}(\Sigma) = \Sigma$, hence L is a canonical subgeometry.

A family of scattered $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear sets of rank tm of $\mathrm{PG}(2m-1, q^t)$, called of *pseudoregulus type*, have been introduced in [21] for $m = 2$ and $t = 3$, further generalized in [12] for $m \geq 2$ and $t = 3$ and finally in [19] for $m \geq 1$ and $t \geq 2$ (for $t = 2$ they are the same as Baer subgeometries isomorphic to $\mathrm{PG}(2m-1, q)$, see [19, Remark 3.4]). This paper is devoted to the investigation of linear sets of pseudoregulus type in $\mathrm{PG}(1, q^t)$. It has been proved in [19, Section 4] and in [5, Remark 2.2] that all linear sets of pseudoregulus type in $\mathrm{PG}(1,q^t)$ are $\mathrm{PGL}(2,q^t)$-equivalent and hence they ca ben defined as follows.

Definition 1.3 ([19, 5])**.** *A point set* $\mathbb{L}$ *of* $\mathrm{PG}_{q^t}(\mathbb{F}_{q^t}^2)=\mathrm{PG}(1,q^t)$*,* $t\geq 2$*, is called a* linear set of pseudoregulus type *if* $\mathbb{L}$ *is projectively equivalent to*

$$\mathbb{L}_0=\{\langle(\lambda,\lambda^q)\rangle_{q^t}\mid\lambda\in\mathbb{F}_{q^t}^*\}. \tag{2}$$

If ϕ *is a projectivity mapping* $\mathbb{L}_0$ *into* $\mathbb{L}$*, then* $\langle(1,0)\rangle_{q^t}^{\phi}$ *and* $\langle(0,1)\rangle_{q^t}^{\phi}$ *are* transversal points *of* $\mathbb{L}$*.*

There are precisely two transversal points [19, Proposition 4.3].

Section 2 is devoted to the characterization (Theorem 2.3) of the projecting configuration giving rise, according to Theorem 1.2, to a linear set of pseudoregulus type in $\mathrm{PG}(1,q^t)$, say $\mathbb{L}$. In particular, a necessary and sufficient condition is that the center of the projection is of type

$$\Gamma=\langle P,P^{\hat\sigma},\dots,P^{\hat\sigma^{t-3}}\rangle,$$

where P is an imaginary point with respect the canonical subgeometry Σ, and $\hat\sigma$ is a collineation of order t fixing Σ pointwise. Dualizing Theorem 2.3 allows a description of all line and canonical subgeometry pairs giving rise to an exterior splash which is a linear set of pseudoregulus type in $\mathrm{PG}(1,q^t)$ (Theorem 2.6).

In Section 3 a sufficient condition (Proposition 3.7) is proved for a normal rational curve in $\mathrm{PG}(t-1,q^t)$, t prime, to be $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational.

In Section 4 the q-order sublines contained in a linear set of pseudoregulus type in $\mathrm{PG}(1,q^t)$ are thoroughly investigated. Their number is computed (17) for $q\geq t$. A particular subset of them is described, where the q-order sublines are projections of normal $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational curves of order $t-1$ containing the basis $\{P,P^{\hat\sigma},\dots,P^{\hat\sigma^{t-1}}\}$. This generalizes the result on q-order sublines in [1, Theorem 5.2].

In order to describe all q-order sublines in $\mathbb{L}$, in Section 5 the notion of a d-power of a line is introduced and investigated. Assuming $\mathrm{PG}(t-1,q)=\mathrm{PG}_q(\mathbb{F}_{q^t})$, the d-power ℓ^d of a line ℓ is the set of all $\langle x^d\rangle_q$ for $\langle x\rangle_q\in\ell$. In Theorem 5.10 a sufficient condition on d is proved for ℓ^d to be a normal rational curve. As a consequence, for $q\geq t$ any q-order subline in $\mathbb{L}$ is projection of a normal rational curve (Theorem 5.12). Additionally, if t is prime, there are $(t-1)$ families of sublines arising from normal rational curves of all orders from one to $t-1$, where the order is constant inside any family (Theorem 5.13).

2 Characterization of the projecting configurations

In this section $\mathbb{L}$ denotes a scattered linear set of pseudoregulus type in $\ell_0 \cong \mathrm{PG}(1,q^t)$, $t \geq 3$. It is assumed that for a $(t-3)$-subspace Γ of $\mathrm{PG}(t-1,q^t) \supset \ell_0$, with $\Gamma \cap \ell_0 = \emptyset$, and a q-order $(t-1)$-dimensional subgeometry Σ, $\Sigma \cap \Gamma = \emptyset$, of $\mathrm{PG}(t-1,q^t)$ it holds $p_{\Gamma,\ell_0}(\Sigma) = \mathbb{L}$. In $\mathrm{PG}(t-1,q^t)$ take homogeneous coordinates such that Σ is the set of points having coordinates $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_t$ in $\mathbb{F}_q$.

Let $\hat{\Gamma}$ and $\hat{\ell}_0$ be the $(t-2)$-subspace and 2-subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}^t$ related to Γ and ℓ_0, respectively. Two vectors $v_1, v_2 \in \hat{\ell}_0$ exist such that

$$\mathbb{L} = \{\langle \lambda v_1 + \lambda^q v_2 \rangle_{q^t} \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}^*\}. \tag{3}$$

Denote by φ_i, $i = 1,2$, the canonical projection onto $\langle v_i \rangle_{q^t}$ with respect to $(\hat{\Gamma} + \langle v_{3-i} \rangle_{q^t}) \oplus \langle v_i \rangle_{q^t}$. By this definition $\mathbb{L} = \{\langle a^{\varphi_1} + a^{\varphi_2} \rangle_{q^t} \mid a \in (\mathbb{F}_q^t)^*\}$. This implies that letting

$$\varphi_i(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_t) = \sum_{j=1}^{t} \mu_{ij} a_j v_i, \quad i = 1,2,$$

both $(\mu_{11}, \mu_{12}, \ldots, \mu_{1t})$ and $(\mu_{21}, \mu_{22}, \ldots, \mu_{2t})$ are t-tuples of $\mathbb{F}_q$-linearly independent elements of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$. Then the map $\varphi : \mathbb{F}_{q^t} \to \mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ defined by $(\sum_{j=1}^t \mu_{1j} a_j)^\varphi = \sum_{j=1}^t \mu_{2j} a_j$ for any a_1, a_2, $\ldots$, $a_t \in \mathbb{F}_q$ is a well-defined non-singular $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear map. The linear set $\mathbb{L}$ can be expressed by means of this map as follows:

$$\mathbb{L} = \{\langle \lambda v_1 + \lambda^\varphi v_2 \rangle_{q^t} \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}^*\}. \tag{4}$$

Proposition 2.1. *The function φ has the following properties.*

(i) Any $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}^*$ satisfies $N(\lambda^\varphi \lambda^{-1}) = 1$.

(ii) For any $\beta, \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}$, $\lambda \neq 0$, it holds $(\beta\lambda)^\varphi = \beta\lambda^\varphi$ if and only if $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q$.

Proof. The first statement follows by comparing (3) and (4). Taking into account (4) once again, we have that the size θ_{t-1} of $\mathbb{L}$ equals the size of the image of $\psi : \mathbb{F}_{q^t}^* \to \{z \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t} \mid N(z) = 1\}$ defined by $\lambda^\psi = \lambda^\varphi \lambda^{-1}$. Since all non-zero elements of a one-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace have the same image, the images of any two $\mathbb{F}_q$-linearly independent vectors are distinct. This implies that for any nonzero $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}$,

$$\langle \lambda \rangle_q = \langle \mu \rangle_q \;\Leftrightarrow\; \lambda^\varphi \lambda^{-1} = \mu^\varphi \mu^{-1} \;\Leftrightarrow\; \frac{\mu^\varphi}{\lambda^\varphi} = \frac{\mu}{\lambda}.$$

The thesis follows by applying the last equivalences to $\mu = \beta\lambda$. $\square$

In the main result of this section (Theorem 2.3) the following fact on permutation polynomials, i.e., polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ which are bijective maps, is needed.

Theorem 2.2. [3, 23] *Let F be a field of order $q' = p^n$, and*[1] $1 < d \mid q' - 1$, *say $q' - 1 = dm$. Define $\Psi_d(x) = x^m$ for $x \in F$. If $f(x)$ is a permutation polynomial on F satisfying $f(0) = 0$, $f(1) = 1$, and $\Psi_d\{f(x) - f(y)\} = \Psi_d(x - y)$ for all x, y, then an integer j satisfying $d \mid p^j - 1$, $0 \leq j < n$ exists such that $f(x) = x^{p^j}$ for all $x \in F$.*

Theorem 2.3. *Let Σ be a q-order canonical subgeometry of* $\mathrm{PG}(t-1, q^t)$, *$q > 2$, $t \geq 3$. Assume that Γ and ℓ_0 are a $(t-3)$-subspace and a line of* $\mathrm{PG}(t-1, q^t)$, *respectively, such that $\Sigma \cap \Gamma = \emptyset = \ell_0 \cap \Gamma$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

(i) The set $p_{\Gamma,\ell_0}(\Sigma)$ is a scattered $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear set of pseudoregulus type.

(ii) A generator $\hat{\sigma}$ exists of the subgroup of $\mathrm{P\Gamma L}(t, q^t)$ *fixing pointwise Σ, such that* $\dim(\Gamma \cap \Gamma^{\hat{\sigma}}) = t - 4$; *furthermore, Γ is not contained in the span of any hyperplane of Σ.*

(iii) There are a point P_Γ and a generator $\hat{\sigma}$ of the subgroup of $\mathrm{P\Gamma L}(t, q^t)$ *fixing pointwise Σ, such that* $\langle P_\Gamma, P_\Gamma^{\hat{\sigma}}, \dots, P_\Gamma^{\hat{\sigma}^{t-1}} \rangle = \mathrm{PG}(t-1, q^t)$, *and*

$$\Gamma = \langle P_\Gamma, P_\Gamma^{\hat{\sigma}}, \dots, P_\Gamma^{\hat{\sigma}^{t-3}} \rangle. \tag{5}$$

Furthermore, if the conditions above are satisfied, then

(a) For a fixed $\hat{\sigma}$, the point P_Γ satisfying (5) is unique;

(b) the transversal points are precisely $\ell_0 \cap \langle \Gamma, P_\Gamma^{\hat{\sigma}^i} \rangle$, $i = t-2, t-1$.

Proof. (i) ⇒ (ii). Theorem 2.2 for $f = \varphi/(1^\varphi)$, $q' = q^t$ and $d = q-1$ together with Proposition 2.1 imply that an $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ with $N(\alpha) = 1$ and an integer ν with $\gcd(t, \nu) = 1$ exist such that $\lambda^\varphi = \alpha \lambda^{q^\nu}$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}$. The map

$$\sigma : x \mapsto x^{q^\nu} \tag{6}$$

induces a generator $\hat{\sigma}$ of the subgroup of $\mathrm{P\Gamma L}(t, q^t)$ fixing pointwise Σ, and Γ is intersection of the hyperplanes H of equation $\sum_{j=1}^{t} \mu_{1j} X_j = 0$ and $H^{\hat{\sigma}}$ of equation $\sum_{j=1}^{t} \mu_{1j}^{\sigma} X_j = 0$. This implies that $\Gamma \cap \Gamma^{\hat{\sigma}} = H \cap H^{\hat{\sigma}} \cap H^{\hat{\sigma}^2}$ has dimension at least $t-4$. If $\Gamma \cap \Gamma^{\hat{\sigma}}$ had dimension $t-3$, that is $\Gamma = \Gamma^{\hat{\sigma}}$, then Γ would be the span of a subspace of the canonical subgeometry Σ (see e.g. [17, Lemma (3.2)]), contradicting the assumption that $p_{\Gamma,\ell_0}(\Sigma)$ is scattered. By the same assumption, Γ is not contained in the span of any hyperplane of Σ.

---

[1] The condition $d > 1$ is not explicitly stated in[23], but is clear from the context. See also [2].

(ii) ⇒ (iii). Since $\Sigma = \{\langle a\rangle_{q^t} \mid a \in (\mathbb{F}_q^t)^*\}$ is the standard canonical subgeometry, the map $\hat{\sigma}$ can be identified with an automorphism σ of order t of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$. Let $H^{\hat{\sigma}}$ be the hyperplane spanned by Γ and $\Gamma^{\hat{\sigma}}$. Hence $\Gamma \subseteq H \cap H^{\hat{\sigma}}$. The equation $H = H^{\hat{\sigma}}$ would imply that H is the span of a hyperplane of Σ, a contradiction, so $\Gamma = H \cap H^{\hat{\sigma}}$. It holds

$$\bigcap_{j=0}^{t-3} \Gamma^{\hat{\sigma}^j} = \bigcap_{j=0}^{t-2} H^{\hat{\sigma}^j} \neq \emptyset.$$

If $\dim\left(\bigcap_{j=0}^{t-3} \Gamma^{\hat{\sigma}^j}\right) > 0$, then $\bigcap_{j=0}^{t-1} H^{\hat{\sigma}^j} \neq \emptyset$, implying that both H and Γ contain a point of Σ, a contradiction. So, $\bigcap_{j=0}^{t-3} \Gamma^{\hat{\sigma}^j}$ is one point, say

$$Q = \bigcap_{j=0}^{t-2} H^{\hat{\sigma}^j}. \tag{7}$$

Since for $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, t-1\}$ the point $Q^{\hat{\sigma}^i}$ is the intersection of $t-1$ hyperplanes in the independent set $\{H, H^{\hat{\sigma}}, \dots, H^{\hat{\sigma}^{t-1}}\}$, the set of points $\{Q, Q^{\hat{\sigma}}, \dots, Q^{\hat{\sigma}^{t-1}}\}$ is independent. Define $P_\Gamma = Q^{\hat{\sigma}^3}$. Because of (7), for $i = 0, 1, \dots, t-3$ it holds $P_\Gamma^{\hat{\sigma}^i} \in \Gamma$. So, (5) is true.

(iii) ⇒ (i). Let $P_\Gamma = \langle (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_t)\rangle_{q^t}$. The line ℓ_0 such that $\ell_0 \cap \Gamma = \emptyset$ is immaterial, so assume $\ell_0 = \langle P_\Gamma^{\hat{\sigma}^{t-2}}, P_\Gamma^{\hat{\sigma}^{t-1}}\rangle$. The projectivity $\kappa \in \mathrm{PGL}(t, q^t)$ related to the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_1^\sigma & \dots & b_1^{\sigma^{t-1}} \\ b_2 & b_2^\sigma & \dots & b_2^{\sigma^{t-1}} \\ \vdots & & & \\ b_t & b_t^\sigma & \dots & b_t^{\sigma^{t-1}} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \tag{8}$$

maps ℓ_0 and Γ into the subspaces of equations $X_1 = X_2 = \ldots = X_{t-2} = 0$ and $X_{t-1} = X_t = 0$, respectively. Note that the points of the canonical subgeometry $\{\langle(\lambda, \lambda^\sigma, \dots, \lambda^{\sigma^{t-1}})\rangle_{q^t} \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}^*\}$ are mapped by κ^{-1} into points of Σ, so by a cardinality argument it holds $\Sigma^\kappa = \{\langle(\lambda, \lambda^\sigma, \dots, \lambda^{\sigma^{t-1}})\rangle_{q^t} \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}^*\}$. Observing that

$$p_{\Gamma^\kappa,\ell_0{}^\kappa}(\Sigma^\kappa) = \{\langle(0, \dots, 0, \mu, \mu^\sigma)\rangle_{q^t} \mid \mu \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}^*\}$$

is a scattered linear set of pseudoregulus type completes the first part of the proof. We remark here that

$$\kappa^{-1}\hat{\sigma}\kappa : \langle(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_t)\rangle_{q^t} \mapsto \langle(x_t^\sigma, x_1^\sigma, \ldots, x_{t-1}^\sigma)\rangle_{q^t}. \tag{9}$$

.

If a point R satisfies $\langle R, R^{\hat{\sigma}}, \ldots, R^{\hat{\sigma}^{t-1}}\rangle = \mathrm{PG}(t-1, q^t)$ and $\langle R, R^{\hat{\sigma}}, \ldots, R^{\hat{\sigma}^{t-3}}\rangle = \Gamma$, then $\bigcap_{i=0}^{t-3} \Gamma^{\hat{\sigma}^i} = R^{\hat{\sigma}^{t-3}}$, that is $R = P_\Gamma$. This proves (a).

As regards (b), note that the transversal points of $p_{\Gamma^\kappa, \ell_0{}^\kappa}(\Sigma^\kappa)$ are $\langle(0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0)\rangle_{q^t}$ and $\langle(0, \ldots, 0, 1)\rangle_{q^t}$. Applying κ^{-1} it follows that the transversal points of $p_{\Gamma, \ell_0}(\Sigma)$ are $P_\Gamma^{\hat{\sigma}^{t-2}}$ and $P_\Gamma^{\hat{\sigma}^{t-1}}$, respectively.

$\square$

Remark 2.4. *The property* $\dim(\Gamma \cap \Gamma^{\hat{\sigma}}) = t - 4$ *in Theorem 2.3 does not hold in general for any* $\hat{\sigma}$. *As a matter of fact, if* $\gcd(s, t) = 1$, *then the projection of the canonical subgeometry* $\{\langle(\lambda, \lambda^{\hat{\sigma}}, \ldots, \lambda^{\hat{\sigma}^{t-1}})\rangle_{q^t} \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}^*\}$ *from the center* Γ_s *of equation* $X_{t-s} = X_t = 0$ *is a scattered linear set of pseudoregulus type but, if* $1 < s < t - 1$, $\Gamma_s \cap \Gamma_s^{\kappa^{-1}\hat{\sigma}\kappa}$ *is a* $(t-5)$*-subspace.*

Remark 2.5. *By Theorem 2.3 (b), there are precisely two collineations* $\hat{\sigma}$ *satisfying the conditions (i), (ii), (iii). They are inverse of each other.*

The *splash* of a q-order canonical subgeometry Σ of $\mathrm{PG}(t-1, q^t)$ on a line ℓ is the set of all intersections of ℓ (not contained in the span of a hyperplane of Σ) with the spans of the hyperplanes of Σ, and is always a linear set [15]. The relationship between tangent splashes and linear sets has been dealt with in [14]. By dualizing Theorem 2.3 one obtains a characterization of the linear sets of pseudoregulus type among all exterior splashes.

Theorem 2.6. *Let* ℓ *be a line in* $\mathrm{PG}(t-1, q^t)$, *exterior to a* q*-order canonical subgeometry* Σ *and not contained in the span of a hyperplane of* Σ. *The splash* $\mathbb{L}$ *of* Σ *on* ℓ *is a scattered* $\mathbb{F}_q$*-linear set of pseudoregulus type if and only if a generator* $\hat{\sigma}$ *exists of the subgroup of* $\mathrm{P\Gamma L}(t, q^t)$ *fixing pointwise* Σ, *such that* $\ell \cap \ell^{\hat{\sigma}} \neq \emptyset$. *In this case the transversal points of* $\mathbb{L}$ *are* $P = \ell \cap \ell^{\hat{\sigma}}$ *and* $P' = \ell \cap \ell^{\hat{\sigma}^{-1}}$. $\square$

For $t = 3$ the carriers defined in [1] are the transversal points [1, Theorem 4.2].

3 Normal $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational curves

In this section we collect results that will be used in the proof of Theorem 4.12, but can have their own interest. Fix a generator σ of $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{q^t}/\mathbb{F}_q)$ $(t \geq 3)$; it induces an element $\hat{\sigma}$ of $\mathrm{P\Gamma L}(t, q^t)$. It holds

Proposition 3.1. [16, Lemma 3.51] *Let* $P = \langle(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_t)\rangle_{q^t}$ *be a point of* $\mathrm{PG}(t-1, q^t)$*. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) $\langle P, P^{\hat{\sigma}}, \ldots, P^{\hat{\sigma}^{t-1}}\rangle = \mathrm{PG}(t-1, q^t)$*;*

(ii) $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_t$ *are* $\mathbb{F}_q$*-linearly independent;*

(iii)

$$\det\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \ldots & \alpha_t \\ \alpha_1^\sigma & \alpha_2^\sigma & \ldots & \alpha_t^\sigma \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ \alpha_1^{\sigma^{t-1}} & \alpha_2^{\sigma^{t-1}} & \ldots & \alpha_t^{\sigma^{t-1}} \end{pmatrix} \neq 0. \tag{10}$$

A point P satisfying the conditions in Proposition 3.1 will be called an *imaginary point.* From now on $\mathrm{PG}(t-1, q)$ is considered to be the set of all $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational points of $\mathrm{PG}(t-1, q^t)$.

Proposition 3.2. *If* $\tau \in \mathrm{PGL}(t, q)$ *and* P *is an imaginary point in* $\mathrm{PG}(t-1, q^t)$*, then* P^τ *is an imaginary point.* □

Proposition 3.3. *Assume* t *is prime. Let* Q_1 *and* Q_2 *be two distinct* $\mathbb{F}_q$*-rational points in* $\mathrm{PG}(t-1, q^t)$*. If* P *is an imaginary point, then no* t *of the points* Q_1*,* Q_2*,* P*,* $P^{\hat{\sigma}}$*, …,* $P^{\hat{\sigma}^{t-1}}$ *lie on a hyperplane.*

Proof. Let S be a t-set contained in $\{Q_1, Q_2, P, P^{\hat{\sigma}}, \ldots, P^{\hat{\sigma}^{t-1}}\}$. If S does not contain $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational points, then the thesis follows from the definition of an imaginary point. If S contains exactly one $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational point, say Q_1, then $H = \langle S \setminus \{Q_1\}\rangle$ is a hyperplane spanned by $t-1$ of the points P, $P^{\hat{\sigma}}$, …, $P^{\hat{\sigma}^{t-1}}$, so H, $H^{\hat{\sigma}}$, …, $H^{\hat{\sigma}^{t-1}}$ are independent points of the dual space; in particular, their intersection is empty. If $Q_1 \in H$, then $Q_1 \in H \cap H^{\hat{\sigma}} \cap \ldots \cap H^{\hat{\sigma}^{t-1}}$, a contradiction.

It only remains to prove that if $Q_1, Q_2 \in S$, then S is independent. Let r and $r+s$, $0 \leq r < r+s \leq t-1$, be the indices such that $P^{\hat{\sigma}^r}$, $P^{\hat{\sigma}^{r+s}} \notin S$. Assume S is a dependent set of points. Like in the proof of Theorem 2.3, take homogeneous coordinates x_1, x_2, …, x_t such that P, $P^{\hat{\sigma}}$, …, $P^{\hat{\sigma}^{t-1}}$ are base points (i.e. all their coordinates but one are equal to zero), with first base point $P^{\hat\sigma^r}$, and where $\hat\sigma$ acts as in (9). If $P^{\hat\sigma^r} \in \langle S\rangle$, then $Q_1, Q_2 \in \langle \{P, P^{\hat\sigma}, \ldots, P^{\hat\sigma^{t-1}}\} \setminus \{P^{\hat\sigma^{r+s}}\}\rangle$, and one obtains a contradiction as above. Therefore, the hyperplane $K = \langle S\rangle$ has equation $X_1 + \lambda X_{1+s} = 0$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}$. Since $Q_1, Q_2 \in K \cap K^{\hat\sigma} \cap \ldots \cap K^{\hat\sigma^{t-2}}$, the equations

$$X_i + \lambda^{\sigma^{i-1}} X_{i+s} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, t-1 \tag{11}$$

are linearly dependent (indices are taken modulo t). Let $I = \{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_u\}$ be the set of indices of a minimal linearly dependent set of equations in (11). The multiset

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^{u} \{i_k, i_k + s\}$$

contains each integer mod t either zero or two times, hence i belongs to I if and only if $i + s \in I$. From $u < t$ it follows $s > 1$, and s is a nontrivial divisor of t. □

Remark 3.4. *The assumption that* t *is a prime cannot be removed from the previous proposition. Indeed, assume* P *is an imaginary point in* $PG(3, q^4)$*. The line* $\ell = \langle P, P^{\hat\sigma^2}\rangle$ *is fixed by* $\hat\sigma^2$*, so its intersection with* $\mathrm{PG}(3, q^2)$ *is a line in the latter projective space. It is well known that there are precisely* $q^2 + 1$ $\mathbb{F}_q$*-rational lines, forming a spread of* $\mathrm{PG}(3, q)$*, which intersect* ℓ*.*

Let $q \geq t-1$. A *normal rational curve of order* $t-1$ in $\mathrm{PG}(t-1, q^t)$ is any $\mathcal{C}_t^\tau$, where

$$\mathcal{C}_i = \{\langle (1, y, \ldots, y^{t-1})\rangle_{q^t} \mid y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^i}\} \cup \{\langle (0, 0, \ldots, 0, 1)\rangle_{q^t}\} \quad (i \mid t), \tag{12}$$

and $\tau \in \mathrm{PGL}(t, q^t)$. When $\tau \in \mathrm{PGL}(t, q)$, $\mathcal{C}_t^\tau$ is a *normal* $\mathbb{F}_q$*-rational curve.*

Theorem 3.5. [9, Theorem 27.5.1 (v)] *Let* $q \geq t+1$*. Then there is a unique normal rational curve of order* $t-1$ *in* $\mathrm{PG}(t-1, q)$ *through any* $t+2$ *points no* t *of which lie in a hyperplane.*

A normal $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational curve can be characterized by means of the number of its $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational points.

Proposition 3.6. *Let* $\mathcal{C}$ *be a normal rational curve of order* $t-1$ *in* $\mathrm{PG}(t-1, q^t)$*. Assume* $q \geq t+1$*. Then* $\mathcal{C}$ *is* $\mathbb{F}_q$*-rational if and only if* $\mathcal{C}$ *has* $q+1$ $\mathbb{F}_q$*-rational points.*

Proof. For any $\tau \in \mathrm{PGL}(t,q)$, a point P^τ is $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational if and only if P is $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational. This implies that the number of $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational points of a normal $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational curve is exactly $q+1$.

Conversely, assume that $\mathcal{C}$ has at least $q+1$ $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational points. Fix a $(t+2)$-subset, say $\mathcal{S}$, of $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathrm{PG}(t-1,q)$. By Theorem 3.5 a normal rational curve $\mathcal{C}_1^\tau$, $\tau \in \mathrm{PGL}(t,q)$ exists containing $\mathcal{S}$. Then $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C}_t^\tau$ share at least $t+2$ points. By Theorem 3.5 again, $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_t^\tau$. □

Proposition 3.7. *Assume t is prime, and $q \geq t+1$. Let Q_1 and Q_2 be two distinct $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational points in $\mathrm{PG}(t-1,q^t)$. If P is an imaginary point, then a unique normal rational curve $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathrm{PG}(t-1,q^t)$ exists which contains Q_1, Q_2, P, $P^{\hat\sigma}$, …, $P^{\hat\sigma^{t-1}}$, and such $\mathcal{C}$ is $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational.*

Proof. Proposition 3.3 and $q^t \geq t+1$ are the assumptions of Theorem 3.5 which states the existence and uniqueness of $\mathcal{C}$.

The number of imaginary points in $\mathrm{PG}(t-1,q^t)$ is

$$K_1 = q^{t-1}\prod_{i=0}^{t-2}(q^{t-1}-q^i).$$

Since t is prime, the number of imaginary points of a normal $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational curve is $K_2 = q^t - q$. Let K_3 be the constant number of normal $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational curves containing two distinct $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational points. By double counting the number of triples $(R_1, R_2, \mathcal{S})$, where R_1 and R_2 are $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational points, $R_1 \neq R_2$, and $\mathcal{S}$ is a normal $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational curve containing both R_1 and R_2, taking into account that the total number of normal $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational curves in $\mathrm{PG}(t-1,q^t)$ is [9, Theorem 27.5.3 (ii)]

$$\nu_{t-1} = \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{t-1}(q^t-q^i)}{q(q^2-1)(q-1)},$$

one obtains

$$\frac{q(q^t-1)(q^{t-1}-1)}{(q-1)^2}K_3 = (q+1)q\nu_{t-1},$$

whence

$$K_3 = \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{t-1}(q^t-q^i)}{q(q^t-1)(q^{t-1}-1)}.$$

Now let M be the number of pairs $(X, \mathcal{T})$, where X is an imaginary point, and $\mathcal{T}$ is a normal $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational curve containing X and the given points Q_1, Q_2. There is at most one such curve, for it also contains $X^{\hat\sigma}$, $X^{\hat\sigma^2}$, …, $X^{\hat\sigma^{t-1}}$. Then $K_1 \geq M = K_2K_3$, and the equality holds if and only if for any imaginary point X the unique normal rational curve containing Q_1, Q_2, X, $X^{\hat{\sigma}}$, …, $X^{\hat{\sigma}^{t-1}}$ is $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational. A direct computation shows that indeed $K_1 = K_2 K_3$. □

4 q-order sublines

Proposition 4.1. *Let* Σ *and* Γ *be a pair of a canonical subgeometry and a* $(t-3)$*-space of* $\mathrm{PG}(t-1, q^t)$*, respectively, such that* $p_{\Gamma,\ell_0}(\Sigma)$ *is a scattered linear set of pseudoregulus type for some line* ℓ_0*,* $\ell_0 \cap \Gamma = \emptyset$*. Then, up to projectivities,*

(i) $\Sigma = \{\langle(\lambda, \lambda^{\sigma}, \ldots, \lambda^{\sigma^{t-1}})\rangle_{q^t} \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}^*\}$,

(ii) Γ *is the hyperplane with equations* $X_{t-1} = X_t = 0$*,*

(iii) the projection of Σ *from* Γ *onto the line* ℓ_1 *with equations* $X_1 = X_2 = \ldots = X_{t-2} = 0$ *is*

$$\{\langle(0, 0, \ldots, 0, \mu, \mu^{\sigma})\rangle_{q^t} \mid \mu \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}^*\},$$

where σ *is a field automorphism* $\sigma\colon x \mapsto x^{q^{\nu}}$ *with* $\gcd(\nu, t) = 1$*.*

Proof. Note that (iii) is a simple consequence of the first two conditions.

A projectivity α exists such that Σ^{α} is as in Section 2, that is, Σ^{α} consists of the $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational points of $\mathrm{PG}(t-1, q^t)$. Let $\sigma\colon x \mapsto x^{q^{\nu}}$, $\gcd(\nu, t) = 1$, be a field automorphism such that

$$\hat{\sigma}\colon \langle(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_t)\rangle_{q^t} \mapsto \langle(x_1^{\sigma}, x_2^{\sigma}, \ldots, x_t^{\sigma})\rangle_{q^t}$$

is one of the two collineations (cf. Remark 2.5) satisfying the conditions of Theorem 2.3 (i), (ii), (iii). Then there is a unique point P_{Γ} such that $\Gamma = \langle P_{\Gamma}, P_{\Gamma}^{\hat{\sigma}}, \ldots, P_{\Gamma}^{\hat{\sigma}^{t-3}}\rangle$ and P_{Γ} is an imaginary point of $\mathrm{PG}(t-1, q^t)$ (cf. Theorem 2.3 (b)). Consider the projectivity κ, related to P_{Γ} and defined in (8). Then $\alpha\kappa$ will be good for the purpose of the proof. □

It follows from [4] that for $t = 5$ or $t > 6$ Proposition 4.1 does not hold anymore if one fixes ν. For there is no collineation fixing Γ and mapping $\{\langle(\lambda, \lambda^{q}, \ldots, \lambda^{q^{t-1}})\rangle_{q^t} \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}^*\}$ into $\{\langle(\lambda, \lambda^{q^{\zeta}}, \ldots, \lambda^{q^{\zeta(t-1)}})\rangle_{q^t} \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}^*\}$ if $\zeta \neq 1, t-1$.

For the rest of this section, we may assume that coordinates are fixed such that conditions (i) and (ii) are satisfied in Proposition 4.1.

Definition 4.2. *Let* $\iota\colon \mathrm{PG}_q(\mathbb{F}_{q^t}) \to \Sigma$ *be the projectivity*

$$\langle \lambda \rangle_q \mapsto \langle (\lambda^{\sigma^2}, \lambda^{\sigma^3}, \ldots, \lambda^{\sigma^{t-1}}, \lambda, \lambda^{\sigma}) \rangle_{q^t}. \tag{13}$$

Since the line ℓ_0 such that $\ell_0 \cap \Gamma = \emptyset$ is immaterial, we may assume that ℓ_0 is the line ℓ_1 in Proposition 4.1. Take x_{t-1} and x_t as homogeneous coordinates in $\ell_0 = \ell_1$, so

$$\mathbb{L} = \{\langle (\mu, \mu^{\sigma}) \rangle_{q^t} \mid \mu \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}^*\}. \tag{14}$$

The field reduction $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{2,t,q}$ maps any point $X = \langle (a,b) \rangle_{q^t}$ of ℓ_0 to the $(t-1)$-subspace $\mathcal{F}(X) = \{\langle (za, zb) \rangle_q \mid z \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}^*\}$ of $\mathrm{PG}(2t-1,q) \cong \mathrm{PG}_q(\mathbb{F}_{q^t}^2)$.

4.1 Number of q-order sublines

In [10] the following hypersurface of degree t in $\mathrm{PG}(2t-1,q)$ is dealt with:

$$\mathcal{Q}_{t-1,q} = \{\langle (a,b) \rangle_q \mid (a,b) \in (\mathbb{F}_{q^t}^2)^*,\ N(a) = N(b)\}. \tag{15}$$

The $(t-1)$-subspaces of type

$$S_{h,k} = \{\langle (z, kz^{q^h}) \rangle_q \mid z \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}^*\} \text{ for } k \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t},\ N(k) = 1,\ h = 0, 1, \ldots, t-1, \tag{16}$$

are contained in $\mathcal{Q}_{t-1,q}$, and any family

$$\mathcal{S}_h = \{S_{h,k} \mid k \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t},\ N(k) = 1\}, \quad h = 0, 1, \ldots, t-1,$$

is a partition of $\mathcal{Q}_{t-1,q}$ [10]. If $q \geq t$, any subspace of $\mathrm{PG}(2t-1,q)$ which is contained in $\mathcal{Q}_{t-1,q}$ is contained in some $S_{h,k}$ [10, Corollary 10].

Proposition 4.3. *It holds* $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{L}) = \mathcal{S}_0$.

Proof. Any $X \in \mathbb{L}$ is of type $\langle (1, \mu^{q-1}) \rangle_{q^t}$, $\mu \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}^*$, that is $X = \langle (1,k) \rangle_{q^t}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}$, $N(k) = 1$. Hence $\mathcal{F}(X) = \{\langle (z, kz) \rangle_q \mid z \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}^*\} = S_{0,k}$. The statement follows from the fact that both $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{L})$ and $\mathcal{S}_0$ are of size θ_{t-1}. □

As a consequence of Proposition 4.3, it holds

Proposition 4.4. *Let* $q \geq t$. *For any* q*-order subline* r *in* $\mathbb{L}$, *there is a line* m *of* $\mathrm{PG}(2t-1,q)$ *contained in some* $S_{h,k}$, $h = 1, 2, \ldots, t-1$, $N(k) = 1$, *such that* m *is a transversal line to the regulus* $\mathcal{F}(r)$.

Proposition 4.5. *Assume* $q \geq t$. *Let* $P = \langle (1,1) \rangle_q$ *and, for any* $y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$ *and* $h \in \{0, 1, \ldots, t-1\}$, *define* $Q_{y,h} = \langle (y, y^{q^h}) \rangle_q$. *Then* *(i) The points P and $Q_{y,h}$ in* $\mathrm{PG}(2t-1,q)$ *are distinct.*

(ii) For any point Q in $\mathcal{Q}_{t-1,q}\setminus\{P\}$, the line $\langle P,Q\rangle$ is contained in $\mathcal{Q}_{t-1,q}$ if and only if there are $y\in\mathbb{F}_{q^t}\setminus\mathbb{F}_q$ and $h\in\{0,1,\ldots,t-1\}$ such that $Q=Q_{y,h}$.

(iii) For any two pairs $\{y,h\}$ and $\{y',h'\}$, $y,y'\in\mathbb{F}_{q^t}\setminus\mathbb{F}_q$ and $h,h'\in\{0,1,\ldots,t-1\}$, it holds $Q_{y,h}=Q_{y',h'}$ if and only if $\langle y\rangle_q=\langle y'\rangle_q$ and $[\mathbb{F}_q(y):\mathbb{F}_q]$ divides $h-h'$.

Proof. The 'only if' part of assertion (ii) follows from the fact that for $q\geq t$, any line of $\mathcal{Q}_{t-1,q}$ is contained in some $S_{h,k}$ and $\langle(1,1)\rangle_q\in S_{h,k}$ if and only if $k=1$. The 'if' part follows from the fact that $\langle\lambda(1,1)+(y,y^{q^h})\rangle_q=\langle(\lambda+y,(\lambda+y)^{q^h})\rangle_q\in S_{h,1}$ for any $\lambda\in\mathbb{F}_q$. (In general, for any two points of $S_{h,k}$ the line joining them is also contained in $S_{h,k}$).

As regards (iii), we may assume $h\geq h'$. We have $Q_{y,h}=Q_{y',h'}$ if and only if $\lambda y=y'$ and $\lambda y^{q^h}=y'^{q^{h'}}$ for some $\lambda\in\mathbb{F}_q^*$. If this happens, then clearly $\langle y\rangle_q=\langle y'\rangle_q$ and $y^{q^{h-h'}-1}=1$, i.e $y\in\mathbb{F}^*_{q^{h-h'}}$ and hence $[\mathbb{F}_q(y):\mathbb{F}_q]\,|\,h-h'$. On the other hand, if $[\mathbb{F}_q(y):\mathbb{F}_q]\,|\,h-h'$ for some $y\in\mathbb{F}_{q^t}\setminus\mathbb{F}_q$, then $y\in\mathbb{F}_{q^{h-h'}}$ and hence $y^{q^h}=y^{q^{h'}}$. It follows that for any $y'\in\langle y\rangle_q$, i.e. when $y'=\lambda y$ for some $\lambda\in\mathbb{F}_q^*$, we have $\lambda y^{q^h}=y'^{q^{h'}}$. □

Proposition 4.6. *Denote by N_1 the number of lines contained in $\mathcal{Q}_{t-1,q}$ and incident with P. If $q\geq t$, then*

$$N_1=\frac{\sum\limits_{y\in\mathbb{F}_{q^t}\setminus\mathbb{F}_q}[\mathbb{F}_q(y):\mathbb{F}_q]}{q(q-1)}.$$

Proof. According to Proposition 4.5 (iii), for any $y\in\mathbb{F}_{q^t}\setminus\mathbb{F}_q$ and $h,h'\in\{0,1,\ldots,t-1\}$, we have $Q_{y,h}=Q_{y,h'}$ if and only if $h\equiv h' \pmod{[\mathbb{F}_q(y):\mathbb{F}_q]}$. Also, if $Q_{y,h}=Q_{y',h'}$, then $\langle y\rangle_q=\langle y'\rangle_q$. Then the statement follows from a double counting of the distinct pairs $(y,Q_{y,h})$, $y\in\mathbb{F}_{q^t}\setminus\mathbb{F}_q$, $h\in\{0,1,\ldots,t-1\}$. The number of such pairs is $\sum\limits_{y\in\mathbb{F}_{q^t}\setminus\mathbb{F}_q}[\mathbb{F}_q(y):\mathbb{F}_q]=N_1q(q-1)$.

□

Proposition 4.7. *If $q\geq t$, the number of lines of* $\mathrm{PG}(2t-1,q)$ *which are contained in $\mathcal{Q}_{t-1,q}$ is*

$$N_2=\frac{\theta_{t-1}^2\sum\limits_{y\in\mathbb{F}_{q^t}\setminus\mathbb{F}_q}[\mathbb{F}_q(y):\mathbb{F}_q]}{q(q^2-1)}.$$

Proof. Since the collineation group of $\mathcal{Q}_{t-1,q}$ is transitive, see [10, Proposition 19], every point in $\mathcal{Q}_{t-1,q}$ is on precisely N_1 lines, and the size of $\mathcal{Q}_{t-1,q}$ is θ_{t-1}^2. $\square$

Theorem 4.8. *If $q \geq t$, the number of q-order sublines in $\mathbb{L}$ is*

$$\frac{\theta_{t-1}}{q+1}\left(\frac{\sum\limits_{y\in\mathbb{F}_{q^t}\setminus\mathbb{F}_q}[\mathbb{F}_q(y):\mathbb{F}_q]}{q(q-1)}-\theta_{t-2}\right). \tag{17}$$

Proof. This is the number N of lines in $\mathcal{Q}_{t-1,q}$ which are not contained in any element of $\mathcal{S}_0$,

$$N = N_2 - \frac{\theta_{t-1}^2\theta_{t-2}}{q+1},$$

divided by the number of lines in $\mathrm{PG}(2t-1,q)$ related to a common q-order subline in $\mathrm{PG}(1,q^t)$, which is θ_{t-1}. $\square$

The following is a corollary of Theorem 4.8:

Proposition 4.9. *Let $q \geq t$. If t is prime, then $\mathbb{L}$ contains precisely $(t-1)\theta_{t-1}\theta_{t-2}/\theta_1$ q-order sublines.*

4.2 Structure of the q-order sublines

Definition 4.10. *Let $P = \langle x\rangle_q$ be a point of $\mathrm{PG}_q(\mathbb{F}_{q^t})$. We define $o(P)$, the order of P, as the smallest integer m, such that $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$. Instead of $o(P) = o(\langle x\rangle_q)$, we also write $o(x)$. Let ℓ be a line of $\mathrm{PG}_q(\mathbb{F}_{q^t})$, and let $\hat{\ell}$ be the corresponding two-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$. We define $o(\ell)$, the order of ℓ, as the smallest integer m such that $\hat{\ell}$ is contained in a one-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$-subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$. Note that if $\langle x\rangle_q$ and $\langle y\rangle_q$ are two different points of ℓ, then $o(y/x) = o(\ell)$. In particular, if $\langle 1\rangle_q \in \ell$, then for each $\langle z\rangle_q \in \ell \setminus \langle 1\rangle_q$ we have $o(z) = o(\ell)$.*

Proposition 4.11. *Consider $\mathbb{L} = p_{\Gamma,\ell_0}(\Sigma) = \{\langle(\mu,\mu^\sigma)\rangle_{q^t} \mid \mu \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}^*\}$ with $\sigma\colon x \mapsto x^{q^\nu}$ (cf. (14)) in $\mathrm{PG}(t-1,q^t)$, $q \geq t$. Then for each q-order subline r contained in $\mathbb{L}$, $\Sigma \cap p_{\Gamma,\ell_0}^{-1}(r)$ is projectively equivalent to the set of all points $\langle x^{\delta\theta_{h-1}}\rangle_q$, where x varies on a line ℓ of $\mathrm{PG}_q(\mathbb{F}_{q^t})$, δ is an integer such that*

$$\delta\theta_{\nu-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{\theta_{t-1}} \tag{18}$$

and h is such that a transversal line f to the regulus $\mathcal{F}(r)$ is contained in a subspace of $\mathcal{S}_h$. Also, $o(\ell)$ does not divide h.

Proof. The line $f \subseteq S_{h,k}$ contains two distinct points in the form $\langle (z, kz^{q^h})\rangle_q$, $\langle (w, kw^{q^h})\rangle_q$, thus the points of r have form

$$\langle (az+bw, akz^{q^h}+bkw^{q^h})\rangle_{q^t} = \langle (1, k(az+bw)^{q^h-1})\rangle_{q^t},$$

where $(a,b)\in(\mathbb{F}_q^2)^*$. Take any $u\in\mathbb{F}_{q^t}^*$ and denote $\langle u\rangle_q\in \mathrm{PG}_q(\mathbb{F}_{q^t})$ by U. Then $p_{\Gamma,\ell_0}(U^\iota)=\langle(1,u^{q^\nu-1})\rangle_{q^t}$ (cf. (13)). Thus $p_{\Gamma,\ell_0}(U^\iota)\in r$ if and only if $u^{q^\nu-1}=k(az+bw)^{q^h-1}$, that is, when

$$u^{q-1}=k^\delta\left[(az+bw)^{q^h-1}\right]^\delta,$$

for some $(a,b)\in(\mathbb{F}_q^2)^*$. Since $k=k'^{q-1}$ for some $k'\in\mathbb{F}_{q^t}^*$ and u is defined up to a non-zero factor in $\mathbb{F}_q$, the point set of $\mathrm{PG}_q(\mathbb{F}_{q^t})$ mapped into r by $\iota p_{\Gamma,\ell_0}$ is, up to the collineation $\langle x\rangle_q\mapsto\langle k'^\delta x\rangle_q$, equals

$$\{\langle (az+bw)^{\delta\theta_{h-1}}\rangle_q \mid (a,b)\in(\mathbb{F}_q^2)^*\}.$$

Since ι is a projectivity, the first part follows with $\hat\ell=\langle z,w\rangle_q$. Let $o(\ell)=o(z/w)=m$ and suppose to the contrary $m\mid h$. Then $\langle x^{\delta\theta_{h-1}}\rangle_q=\langle y^{\delta\theta_{h-1}}\rangle_q$, for any two points $\langle x\rangle_q,\langle y\rangle_q\in\ell$, a contradiction. □

Theorem 4.12. *Let t be prime and $q\geq t+1$. There are precisely $\theta_{t-1}\theta_{t-2}/\theta_1$ q-order sublines of $\mathbb{L}$ which are projections under p_{Γ,ℓ_0} of normal rational curves of order $t-1$ containing the points $P_\Gamma^{\hat\sigma^i}$, $i=0,1,\dots,t-1$.*

Proof. Since a normal rational curve of order $t-1$ is uniquely determined by $t+2$ points in general position, by Proposition 3.3 any two distinct points in Σ are contained in precisely one such curve, which is $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational (cf. Proposition 3.7), and a double counting shows that the number of curves is as stated. So it is enough to prove, extending the argument in [11], that for any normal $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational curve $\mathcal{C}$ of order $t-1$ in $\mathrm{PG}(t-1,q^t)$ and any imaginary point $P\in\mathcal{C}$, the projection of $\mathcal{C}$ from the center $\Gamma=\langle P,P^{\hat\sigma},\dots,P^{\hat\sigma^{t-3}}\rangle$ is a q-order subline.

Up to a change of coordinates with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_q$, $\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{C}_t$ (see (12)), so $P=\langle v\rangle_{q^t}$, where $v=(1,\alpha,\alpha^2,\dots,\alpha^{t-1})$, for an α such that $\mathbb{F}_q(\alpha)=\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$. The vectors v, v^σ, …, $v^{\sigma^{t-1}}$ are $\mathbb{F}_q$-linearly independent. The axis of the projection is immaterial, so it can be identified with the line ℓ_1 of equations $X_1=X_2=\ldots=X_{t-2}=0$ that by Proposition 3.3 is disjoint with Γ. Note that by operating row reduction on the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & x^2 & \dots & x^{t-1} \\ 1 & \alpha & \alpha^2 & \dots & \alpha^{t-1} \\ 1 & \alpha^{\sigma} & \alpha^{2\sigma} & \dots & \alpha^{(t-1)\sigma} \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ 1 & \alpha^{\sigma^{t-3}} & \alpha^{2\sigma^{t-3}} & \dots & \alpha^{(t-1)\sigma^{t-3}} \end{pmatrix}$$

the last line is $(0, 0, \dots, 1, \det A_t^{-1} \det A_{t-1})$, where A_j is obtained from A by deleting its j-th column, $j = t-1, t$. The matrix A_t is a Vandermonde matrix, and by a general property of Vandermonde matrices,

$$\det A_{t-1} = \left(x + \sum_{i=0}^{t-3} \alpha^{\sigma^i} \right) \det A_t.$$

This implies that

$$p_{\Gamma,\ell_1}(\mathcal{C} \cap \Sigma) = \{\langle (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, x + \sum_{i=0}^{t-3} \alpha^{\sigma^i}) \rangle_{q^t} \mid x \in \mathbb{F}_q\} \cup \{\langle (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1) \rangle_{q^t}\}$$

is a q-order subline. $\square$

Remark 4.13. *Theorem 5.13 will state that the q-order sublines dealt with in Theorem 4.12 are all associated with a unique family* $\mathcal{S}_h$.

5 $\theta_{\nu-1}^{-1}\theta_{h-1}$-powers of lines

Definition 5.1. *For an integer d and a point set* $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathrm{PG}_q(\mathbb{F}_{q^t})$, *let* $\mathcal{H}^d = \{\langle x^d \rangle_q \mid \langle x \rangle_q \in \mathcal{H}\}$.

Definition 5.2. *For any* $w \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}^*$ *let* $\lambda_w : \mathrm{PG}_q(\mathbb{F}_{q^t}) \to \mathrm{PG}_q(\mathbb{F}_{q^t})$ *denote the projectivity* $\langle z \rangle_q \mapsto \langle wz \rangle_q$.

First we show three simple properties of the $\langle x \rangle_q \mapsto \langle x^d \rangle_q$ map. Proposition 5.3 says that the study of ℓ^d can be reduced to the study of $\ell^{d'}$, where $d \equiv d' \pmod{\theta_{m-1}}$, m the order of ℓ. Take a line ℓ of $\mathrm{PG}_q(\mathbb{F}_{q^t})$. When t is not a prime, and hence $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ has non-trivial subfields, then Proposition 5.4 says that the dimension of $\langle \ell^d \rangle$ depends also on the choice of ℓ and not only on the choice of d. Proposition 5.5 implies that the study of ℓ^d can be reduced to the study of ℓ'^d, where ℓ' is a line such that $o(\ell) = o(\ell')$ and ℓ' contains $\langle 1 \rangle_q$.

Proposition 5.3. *If* ℓ *is a line of* $\mathrm{PG}_q(\mathbb{F}_{q^t})$ *with* $o(\ell) = m$ *and* $d \equiv d' \pmod{\theta_{m-1}}$*, then* ℓ^d *is* $\mathrm{PGL}(t,q)$*-equivalent with* $\ell^{d'}$*.*

Proof. Let $x = \alpha f_1$ and $y = \alpha f_2$ for some $f_1, f_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ such that $\ell = \langle x, y\rangle_q$. We show that an element β of $\mathbb{F}^*_{q^t}$ exists such that $\lambda_\beta(P^d) = P^{d'}$ for each $P \in \ell$. Take a point $P \in \ell$, so $P = \langle \eta x + \mu y\rangle_q$ for some $\eta, \mu \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Then $\lambda_\beta(P^d) = P^{d'}$ if and only if $\beta^{q-1}(\eta x + \mu y)^{d(q-1)} = (\eta x + \mu y)^{d'(q-1)}$, that is, $\beta^{q-1} = (\eta x + \mu y)^{(d'-d)(q-1)}$. We have $d - d' = K\theta_{m-1}$ for some integer K, thus $(\eta x + \mu y)^{(d-d')(q-1)} = (\eta x + \mu y)^{(q^m-1)K} = \alpha^{(q^m-1)K}$. It follows that $\alpha^{\theta_{m-1}K}$ is a good choice for β. □

Proposition 5.4. *Let* ℓ *be a line of* $\mathrm{PG}_q(\mathbb{F}_{q^t})$ *with* $o(\ell) = m$*. Then* ℓ^d *is contained in a subspace* $\mathrm{PG}(m-1, q)$ *of* $\mathrm{PG}_q(\mathbb{F}_{q^t})$*. Also, there is a line* ℓ' *in* $\mathrm{PG}_q(\mathbb{F}_{q^m})$ *with* $o(\ell) = o(\ell')$*, such that* ℓ^d *is* $\mathrm{PGL}(t,q)$*-equivalent with* ℓ'^d*.*

Proof. We have $\ell = \langle x, y\rangle_q$ for some $x = \alpha f_1$ and $y = \alpha f_2$, where $f_1, f_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}$. Then for each $\mu, \eta \in \mathbb{F}_q$ we have

$$(\mu x + \eta y)^d = \sum_{i=0}^{d} \binom{d}{i} (\mu\alpha f_1)^i (\eta\alpha f_2)^{d-i} = \alpha^d \sum_{i=0}^{d} \gamma_i f'_i,$$

where $\gamma_i \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and $f'_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, d$, and hence $(\mu x + \eta y)^d = \alpha^d f$ for some $f \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ (depending on μ and η). Let $\ell' = \langle f_1, f_2\rangle_q \subseteq \mathrm{PG}_q(\mathbb{F}_{q^m})$. Then λ_{α^d} is a projectivity which maps ℓ'^d into ℓ^d. It is easy to see that $o(\ell) = o(\ell')$. □

Proposition 5.5. *Let* ℓ *be a line of* $\mathrm{PG}_q(\mathbb{F}_{q^t})$ *and let* P *and* Q *be any two different points of* ℓ*. There exists a projectivity* μ *of* $\mathrm{PG}_q(\mathbb{F}_{q^t})$ *and a line* $\ell' = \langle 1, z\rangle_q$ *such that* $\mu(P^d) = \langle 1\rangle_q$*,* $\mu(Q^d) = \langle z^d\rangle_q$*,* $\mu(\ell^d) = \ell'^d$ *and* $o(\ell) = o(\ell')$*.*

Proof. Let $P = \langle x\rangle_q$, $Q = \langle y\rangle_q$ and let $z = y/x$. Then $o(\ell) = o(\ell')$ trivially holds. We have $\ell = \{\langle xw\rangle_q \mid \langle w\rangle_q \in \ell'\}$, thus $\ell^d = \{\langle x^d u\rangle_q \mid \langle u\rangle_q \in \ell'^d\}$. We have $P^d = \lambda_{x^d}(\langle 1\rangle_q)$, $Q^d = \lambda_{x^d}(\langle z^d\rangle_q)$ and $\ell^d = \lambda_{x^d}(\ell'^d)$. Let μ be the inverse of λ_{x^d}. □

Proposition 5.6. *Let* $\nu \geq 1$ *and* $h \geq 0$ *be integers such that* $\gcd(\nu, t) = 1$*. Also, let* m *be a positive divisor of* t*. Then* $\theta_{\nu-1}$ *is invertible modulo* θ_{t-1}*, denote its inverse by* $\theta_{\nu-1}^{-1}$*. Also, denote*[2] *the inverse of* ν *modulo* m *by* ν^{-1}*.*

---

[2] In this section $\theta_{\nu-1}^{-1}$ and ν^{-1} will always denote inverses modulo θ_{t-1} and m, respectively.

Then we have

$$\theta_{\nu-1}^{-1}\theta_{h-1} \equiv \frac{q^{\nu n}-1}{q^{\nu}-1} \pmod{\theta_{m-1}}, \tag{19}$$

for each n, such that $n \equiv h\nu^{-1} \pmod{m}$.

Proof. The following congruence relation is equivalent to (19),

$$\theta_{h-1} \equiv \frac{q^{\nu n}-1}{q-1} \pmod{\theta_{m-1}}. \tag{20}$$

Because of the choice of n, we have $\nu n \equiv h \pmod{m}$, say $\nu n = Km + h$. As $q^m = (q-1)\theta_{m-1} + 1$, it follows that $q^{Km+h} - 1 \equiv (q^m)^K q^h - 1 \equiv q^h - 1 \pmod{\theta_{m-1}}$. $\square$

Lemma 5.7. *Take a line ℓ of $\mathrm{PG}_q(\mathbb{F}_{q^m})$ with $o(\ell) = m$ and let $\nu \geq 1$, $\gcd(\nu, m) = 1$, and $1 \leq n \leq m-1$ be integers. Let*

$$d = \frac{q^{n\nu}-1}{q^{\nu}-1}.$$

Then any $n+1$ points of ℓ^d are in general position, that is, they span an n-dimensional subspace of $\mathrm{PG}_q(\mathbb{F}_{q^m})$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on n. If $n = 1$, then $d = 1$ and hence $\ell^d = \ell$, in which case the assertion is trivial. Now let $n > 1$ and suppose to the contrary that ℓ contains $n+1$ points, $Q_0, Q_1, \ldots, Q_n$, such that $Q_0^d \in \langle Q_1^d, \ldots, Q_n^d \rangle$. According to Proposition 5.5 there is a line ℓ' such that $o(\ell) = o(\ell')$, $\ell' = \langle 1, z \rangle_q$ and

$$\langle z^d \rangle_q \in \langle Q_1'^d, \ldots, Q_{n-1}'^d, \langle 1 \rangle_q \rangle, \tag{21}$$

where $Q_i' \in \ell'$, for $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$. It follows from (21) that there exist $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{F}_q$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ and $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$ such that $\lambda_j \neq \lambda_k$ for $j \neq k$ and

$$z^d = \alpha_n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i (1 + \lambda_i z)^d. \tag{22}$$

Now we use $d = 1 + q^{\nu} + \ldots + q^{(n-1)\nu}$ and we take q^{ν}-th powers of both sides to obtain

$$z^{q^{\nu}+\ldots+q^{n\nu}} = \alpha_n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i (1 + \lambda_i z)^{q^{\nu}+\ldots+q^{n\nu}}. \tag{23}$$

Subtracting (22) from (23) yields

$$z^{q^{\nu}+\ldots+q^{(n-1)\nu}}\left(z^{q^{n\nu}}-z\right)=$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\alpha_i(1+\lambda_i z)^{q^{\nu}+\ldots+q^{(n-1)\nu}}\left((1+\lambda_i z)^{q^{n\nu}}-(1+\lambda_i z)\right).$$

We have $(1+\lambda_i z)^{q^{n\nu}}-(1+\lambda_i z)=\lambda_i\left(z^{q^{n\nu}}-z\right)$, which is non-zero because $o(z)=o(\ell)=m$ does not divide $n\nu$. So we can divide both sides by $z^{q^{n\nu}}-z$ and take q^{ν}-th roots to obtain

$$z^{1+q^{\nu}+\ldots+q^{(n-2)\nu}}=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\alpha_i\lambda_i(1+\lambda_i z)^{1+q^{\nu}+\ldots+q^{(n-2)\nu}}.$$

According to the induction hypothesis any n points of $\ell'^{1+q^{\nu}+\ldots+q^{(n-2)\nu}}$ are in general position, a contradiction. ☐

Corollary 5.8. *Take a line* ℓ *of* $\mathrm{PG}_q(\mathbb{F}_{q^t})$ *and let* $o(\ell)=m$. *Take positive integers* $\nu,h\in\{1,2,\ldots,t-1\}$ *such that* $\gcd(\nu,t)=1$. *Suppose that* m *does not divide* h *and let* $n\equiv h\nu^{-1} \pmod m$ *such that* $1\le n\le m-1$. *Let* $d=\theta_{\nu-1}^{-1}\theta_{h-1}$. *If* $q\ge n$, *then* ℓ^d *contains* $n+1$ *points in general position.*

Proof. Let $d'=\frac{q^{\nu n}-1}{q^{\nu}-1}$. Proposition 5.6 yields $d\equiv d' \pmod{\theta_{m-1}}$, thus Proposition 5.3 implies that ℓ^d and $\ell^{d'}$ are projectively equivalent. Also, Proposition 5.4 yields that $\ell^{d'}$ is projectively equivalent to $\ell'^{d'}$ for some line $\ell'\in\mathrm{PG}_q(\mathbb{F}_{q^m})$ with $o(\ell')=m$. Then the assertion follows from Lemma 5.7. ☐

Lemma 5.9. *Let* ℓ *be a line of* $\mathrm{PG}_q(\mathbb{F}_{q^t})$ *and let* d *be a non-negative integer. Then* d *can be written uniquely as* $d=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}a_iq^i$ $(0\le a_i<q,\ i=0,1,\ldots)$. *Let* $d_q=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}a_i$ *and suppose* $q\ge d_q$. *Also suppose that in* ℓ^d *there exists a set of* d_q+1 *points in general position. Denote by* $\mathcal{B}$ *the* d_q*-subspace of* $\mathrm{PG}_q(\mathbb{F}_{q^t})$ *spanned by this* (d_q+1)*-set. Then* ℓ^d *is a normal rational curve of order* d_q *in* $\mathcal{B}$.

Proof. If $d=d_q=0$, then the statement is trivial. Otherwise, according to Proposition 5.5, we may assume $\ell=\langle 1,z\rangle_q$, where $\langle z^d\rangle_q$ is a point of a (d_q+1)-set whose elements span $\mathcal{B}$. Denote these d_q+1 points by $\{\langle(1+\lambda_1 z)^d\rangle_q,\langle(1+\lambda_2 z)^d\rangle_q,\ldots,\langle(1+\lambda_{d_q}z)^d\rangle_q,\langle z^d\rangle_q\}$. Fix $\{(1+\lambda_1 z)^d,(1+\lambda_2 z)^d,\ldots,(1+\lambda_{d_q}z)^d,z^d\}$ as a basis of the corresponding (d_q+1)-dimensional vector subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$. To prove $\ell^d \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ we should find for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$ elements $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{d_q}, \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$, considered as coordinates of the points of ℓ^d, such that

$$(1+\lambda z)^d = \sum_{i=1}^{d_q} \alpha_i (1+\lambda_i z)^d + \alpha z^d. \tag{24}$$

Note that for $\mu \in \mathbb{F}_q$ we have

$$(1+\mu z)^d = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} (1+\mu z^{q^i})^{a_i} = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{a_i} z^{jq^i} \mu^j \binom{a_i}{j} = \sum_{i=0}^{d} z^i f_i(\mu),$$

where f_i is a polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of degree at most d_q. Note that deg $f_i = d_q$ if and only if $i = d$. Also, $f_d(\mu) = \mu^{d_q}$. Thus (24) can be written as

$$\sum_{i=0}^{d} z^i f_i(\lambda) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{d_q} \alpha_j \sum_{i=0}^{d} z^i f_i(\lambda_j) \right) + \alpha z^d,$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} z^i \left(f_i(\lambda) - \sum_{j=1}^{d_q} \alpha_j f_i(\lambda_j) \right) = z^d \left(\sum_{j=1}^{d_q} \alpha_j \lambda_j^{d_q} + \alpha - \lambda^{d_q} \right). \tag{25}$$

If $f_i(X) \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]$ is $\sum_{k=0}^{d_q-1} a_{ik} X^k$ $(i = 0, 1, \ldots, d-1)$, then

$$f_i(\lambda) - \sum_{j=1}^{d_q} \alpha_j f_i(\lambda_j) = \sum_{k=0}^{d_q-1} a_{ik} (\lambda^k - \sum_{j=1}^{d_q} \alpha_j \lambda_j^k). \tag{26}$$

We show that there exist $\alpha, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{d_q} \in \mathbb{F}_q$, such that $\lambda^k - \sum_{j=1}^{d_q} \alpha_j \lambda_j^k = 0$ for each $k = 0, \ldots, d_q - 1$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{d_q} \alpha_j \lambda_j^{d_q} + \alpha - \lambda^{d_q} = 0$. Then (25) and hence (24) have a solution. Consider the following matrix equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \lambda \\ \vdots \\ \lambda^{d_q} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & \ldots & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda_1 & \ldots & \lambda_{d_q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & \lambda_1^{d_q} & \ldots & \lambda_{d_q}^{d_q} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{d_q} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Denote the $(d_q+1) \times (d_q+1)$ matrix on the right-hand side by M and denote by N the $d_q \times d_q$ matrix obtained from M by removing its first column and its last row. As $\det(M) = \pm \det(N)$ and N is a Vandermonde matrix, it follows from $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$ for $i \neq j$ that $\det(M) \neq 0$. Thus the system of equations has a unique solution. Let τ be the projectivity of $\mathcal{B}$ whose matrix is M^{-1}. Then for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$ we have $\langle(1, \lambda, \ldots, \lambda^{d_q})\rangle_q^\tau = \langle(1+\lambda z)^d\rangle_q$ and $\langle(0, 0, \ldots, 0, 1)\rangle_q^\tau = \langle z^d\rangle_q$. □

Theorem 5.10. *Let ℓ be a line of $\mathrm{PG}_q(\mathbb{F}_{q^t})$ and let $o(\ell) = m$. Take positive integers $\nu, h \in \{1, 2, \ldots, t-1\}$ such that $\gcd(\nu, t) = 1$. Suppose that m does not divide h and let $n \equiv h\nu^{-1} \pmod{m}$ such that $1 \leq n \leq m-1$. Let $d = \theta_{\nu-1}^{-1}\theta_{h-1}$. If $q \geq n$, then ℓ^d is a normal rational curve of order n in some n-subspace of $\mathrm{PG}_q(\mathbb{F}_{q^t})$.*

Proof. Corollary 5.8 yields the existence of $n+1$ points of ℓ^d in general position. According to Propositions 5.3 and 5.6, ℓ^d is projectively equivalent to $\ell^{d'}$ with $d' = 1 + q^\nu + \ldots + q^{(n-1)\nu}$. The assertion follows from Lemma 5.9 since $d'_q = n$. □

Corollary 5.11. [6, 7, 8, 13, 22] *If ℓ is a line of $\mathrm{PG}_q(\mathbb{F}_{q^t})$, $q+1 \geq t$, then ℓ^{-1} is a normal rational curve in some $(m-1)$-space of $\mathrm{PG}_q(\mathbb{F}_{q^t})$ such that m divides t.*

Proof. The $\mathrm{PG}(t-1, q) \to \mathrm{PG}(t-1, q)$ map $\langle x\rangle_q \mapsto \langle x^{-1}\rangle_q$ is the composition of the maps $\langle x\rangle_q \mapsto \langle x^{\theta_{t-2}}\rangle_q$ and $\langle x\rangle_q \mapsto \langle x^q\rangle_q$. The latter one is a projectivity of $\mathrm{PG}_q(\mathbb{F}_{q^t})$ and hence for each line $\ell \subset \mathrm{PG}_q(\mathbb{F}_{q^t})$, ℓ^{-1} is projectively equivalent to $\ell^{\theta_{t-2}}$. Let $o(\ell) = m$. Since m divides t, it cannot divide $t-1$ and hence Theorem 5.10 with $h = t-1$ and $\nu = 1$ yields that ℓ^{-1} is a normal rational curve in some $m-1$ space of $\mathrm{PG}_q(q^t)$. □

As a corollary of Theorem 5.10 it holds:

Theorem 5.12. *Let $\mathbb{L} = p_{\Gamma,\ell_0}(\Sigma)$ be a scattered linear set of pseudoregulus type in $\ell_0 \cong \mathrm{PG}(1, q^t)$, $q \geq t$, and let r be a q-order subline of ℓ_0 contained in $\mathbb{L}$. Then $\Sigma \cap p_{\Gamma,\ell_0}^{-1}(r)$ is a normal rational curve in some n-subspace of Σ. More precisely, assuming Proposition 4.11, a divisor $m > 1$ of t exists such that $\Sigma \cap p_{\Gamma,\ell_0}^{-1}(r)$ is a normal rational curve of order n in some n-subspace of Σ, where $1 \leq n \leq m-1$ and $n \equiv h\nu^{-1} \pmod{m}$.*

Since the normal rational curves dealt with in Theorem 4.12 are of order $t-1$, as a consequence of Theorem 5.12 one obtains:

Theorem 5.13. *Let t be a prime and $q \geq t$. For any $h \in \{1, 2, \ldots, t-1\}$ and any line f contained in an element of $\mathcal{S}_h$ (see (16)), the q-order subline $r = \mathcal{B}(f)$ is the projection under p_{Γ,ℓ_0} of a normal rational curve of order $n \equiv h\nu^{-1} \pmod{t}$ contained in Σ. In particular, if $q > t$, the normal* *rational curves related to lines contained in elements of* $\mathcal{S}_{-\nu}$ *are exactly the normal rational curves containing the points* $P_\Gamma^{\hat{\sigma}^i}$*,* $i = 0, 1, \ldots, t-1$*, constructed in Theorem 4.12.*

Proof. Since t is a prime, we have $o(f^{\iota^{-1}}) = t$. According to Theorem 5.12 the order of the normal rational curve $\Sigma \cap p^{-1}_{\Gamma,\ell_0}(r)$ is n if and only if $n \equiv h\nu^{-1} \pmod{t}$, i.e. when $h \equiv n\nu \pmod{t}$.

The number of normal rational curves constructed in Theorem 4.12 is $\theta_{t-1}\theta_{t-2}/\theta_1$, which is the same as the number of reguli $\mathcal{F}(\ell)$, ℓ is a q-subline of $\mathbb{L}$, such that there is a transversal of $\mathcal{F}(\ell)$ contained in an element of $\mathcal{S}_h$. ☐

Remark 5.14. *Theorem 5.13 extends* [1, Theorem 5.2] *and* [11, Lemma 18].

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the Italian Ministry of Education, University and Research (PRIN 2012 project "Strutture geometriche, combinatoria e loro applicazioni").

References

[1] S.G. Barwick - W.A. Jackson: Exterior splashes and linear sets of rank 3. `<http://arxiv.org/abs/1303.5509>`

[2] A. Bruen - B. Levinger: A theorem on permutations of a finite field. Canad. J. Math. **25** (1973), 1060–1065.

[3] L. Carlitz: A theorem on permutations in a finite field. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **11** (1960), 456–459.

[4] B. Csajbók - C. Zanella: On equivalence of linear sets. `<http://arxiv.org/abs/1501.03441>`

[5] G. Donati - N. Durante: Scattered linear sets generated by collineations between pencils of lines. J. Algebr. Comb. **40** (2014), 1121–1134.

[6] G. Faina - Gy. Kiss - S. Marcugini - F. Pambianco: The Cyclic Model for PG(n, q) and a Construction of Arcs. European J. Combin. **23** (2002), 31–35.

[7] H. Havlicek: Eine affine Beschreibung von Ketten. Univ. Hamburg **53** (1983), 266–275.

[8] A. Herzer: Chain Geometries. In: F. Buekenhout (ed.) *Handbook of incidence geometry. Buildings and foundations.* Elsevier, Amsterdam (1995).

[9] J.W.P. Hirschfeld - J.A.Thas: *General Galois geometries.* Clarendon Press, 1991.

[10] M. Lavrauw - J. Sheekey - C. Zanella: On embeddings of minimum dimension of $\mathrm{PG}(n,q) \times \mathrm{PG}(n,q)$. Des. Codes Cryptogr. **74** (2015), 427–440.

[11] M. Lavrauw - G. Van de Voorde: On linear sets on a projective line. Des. Codes Cryptogr. **56** (2010), 89–104.

[12] M. Lavrauw - G. Van de Voorde: Scattered linear sets and pseudoreguli. Electronic J. Combin. **20(1)** (2013), #P15.

[13] M. Lavrauw - C. Zanella: Geometry of the inversion in a finite field and partitions of $\mathrm{PG}(2^k-1,q)$ in normal rational curves. J. Geom. **105** (2014), 103–110.

[14] M. Lavrauw - C. Zanella: Subspaces intersecting each element of a regulus in one point, André-Bruck-Bose representation and clubs. `<http://arxiv.org/abs/1409.1081>`

[15] M. Lavrauw - C. Zanella: Subgeometries and linear sets on a projective line. Finite Fields Appl. **34** (2015), 95–106.

[16] R. Lidl - H. Niederreiter: Finite Fields. Second edition, Cambridge University Press, 1997.

[17] G. Lunardon: Fibrazioni planari e sottovarietà algebriche della varietà di Grassmann. Geom. Dedicata **16** (1984), 291–313.

[18] G. Lunardon: Normal spreads. Geom. Dedicata **75** (1999), 245–261.

[19] G. Lunardon - G. Marino - O. Polverino - R. Trombetti: Maximum scattered linear sets of pseudoregulus type and the Segre Variety $\mathcal{S}_{n,n}$. J. Algebr. Comb. **39** (2014), 807–831.

[20] G. Lunardon - O. Polverino: Translation ovoids of orthogonal polar spaces. Forum Math. **16** (2004), 663–669.

[21] G. Marino - O. Polverino - R. Trombetti: On $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear sets of $\mathrm{PG}(3, q^3)$ and semifields. J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A **114** (2007), 769–788.

[22] S. Mattarei: A property of the inverse of a subspace of a finite field. Finite Fields Appl. **29** (2014), 268–274.

[23] R. McConnel: Pseudo-ordered polynomials over a finite field. Acta Arith. **8** 1962/1963, 127–151.

Authors' Address: Dipartimento di Tecnica e
Gestione dei Sistemi Industriali,
Università di Padova,
Stradella S. Nicola, 3,
I-36100 Vicenza,
Italy